APPENDIX 1

Denbighshire County Council

Draft: LDP Review
Report,
Replacement LDP
Delivery Agreement
and SA Scoping
Report.

Consultation Report

CONSULTATION REPORT December 2017

1. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN

- 1.1 Consultation on the draft LDP Review Report Delivery Agreement and SA Scoping report ran for 9 weeks from 21st August 2017 to 20th October 2017. This was a public consultation and was open for anyone to respond. The consultation included the following:
 - Letters / emails were sent to contacts on the LDP database; public bodies; statutory consultees; local, regional and national organisations with an interest in the LDP; plus agents /developers, registered social landlords, statutory consultees (eg NRW, WG), relevant landowners and others with an interest in the LDP.
 - All County Councillors notified
 - All Denbighshire City, Town & Community Councils notified, together with neighbouring Counties, Town & Community Councils
 - Council libraries and One-Stop-Shops also received hard copies of the consultation documents.
 - The documents were published on the Council's website.
- 1.2 A total of 23 written responses were received, Representations included comments from Natural Resources Wales, Anwyl Construction and Denbighshire residents. All comments received have been logged, acknowledged and scanned. Copies of the scanned responses are available on request. The key issues raised are summarised in Section 2 of this report, a more detailed list of comments made and the draft Council response and any proposed changes are shown in a table in Section 3 to this report.

2. SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES RAISED

REVIEW REPORT

Key issues

The majority of comments received related to the strategy, particularly the growth levels in the existing LDP and how this had been delivered. Opinions were divided between those that wished to see growth levels maintained and those wishing to see them reduced for the replacement LDP. The role of the Review Report is to outline how the LDP has performed and to highlight areas that will need reconsideration in any replacement LDP. It is not the role of the Review Report to recommend what any changes should be as these will be determined following analysis of an up to date evidence base and extensive engagement and consultation at later stages of LDP preparation. For this reason, the majority of the draft Council responses repeat this and the changes proposed are largely 'None required' as the comments will be taken on board for later stages do not require any changes to the Review Report.

The structure of the report could have been clearer and has been amended to improve its legibility.

The new Corporate Plan has been adopted since the consultation draft was written and the Review Report has been updated to reflect this.

INFORMATION PAPERS

Key issues

Minor changes were made to information papers to reflect the adoption of the new Corporate Plan and any updated guidance.

HABITATS REGULATION APPRAISAL

Key issues

The work on the Habitats Regulations Appraisal was supported and no changes were required.

DELIVERY AGREEMENT

Key issues

Consultation on the Delivery Agreement was welcomed

Updated lists of specific and general consultees

SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL SCOPING REPORT

Key issues

The work on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping was supported and no changes were required.

OTHER AMENDMENTS

2.13 A number of other amendments have been made to the documents to provide greater clarity and improve consistency.

3. DETAILED COMMENTS RECEIVED (see table below)

Issue Raised	Represent or	Council Response	Changes to Consultation Documents Proposed		
Review report					
The review report does not consider the potential for bringing empty homes back into use as a primary means of meeting housing need. The net requirement for new dwellings does not seem to include an assessment based on the difference between empty homes reoccupation and the need for new build.	Ian Gardner	The number of empty homes within the overall housing supply tends to remain fairly constant although the actual properties may change. There is a need for a certain number of empty homes within the housing market at any one time to allow the market to function effectively. Long term empty homes are tackled via actions stemming from the Denbighshire Housing Strategy and also the Empty Homes Delivery Plan which is currently being prepared.	None required.		
Paragraph 4.26 refers to the use of commuted sums for affordable housing in lieu of the 10% target for units. A table showing what has been paid and how this money has been used by the Council would be appropriate and would complement Figure 2 on page 11.	Ian Gardner	A total of £61,742.00 has been received to date in affordable housing commuted sums contributions. The process for distributing the monies received is awaiting approval.	None required.		
There is nothing in the review report to cover the growth and deployment of onshore windfarms – something that has had and continues to have a major planning and environmental impact on Denbighshire.	Ian Gardner	The Review Report highlights only those LDP policies which are not performing adequately against the LDP monitoring framework, which does not include the policies relating to onshore windfarms. Any issues and evidence relating to specific development types or topics will be included in future iterations of the Information Papers as the LDP progresses.	None required.		
Paragraph 6.5 refers to ODPM – a defunct UK Government department. The acronym should be explained or the reference omitted.	Ian Gardner	This reference has been removed from the Review Report.	This reference has been removed from the Review Report.		
Group are happy with the documents.	Glyn Avenue Residents Group	Support welcomed	None required		
The reports identify the need for a replacement LDP for Denbighshire. The failing of the LDP 2006 - 2021 have been acknowledged by DCC and it is hoped that	Russel Reeve	Sustainability is at the heart of the LDP. Any sites proposed for the replacement LDP will need to demonstrate that they are sustainable, viable and deliverable.	None required		

The state of the s	1	T	
future Residential Land Allocations are firstly			
sustainable and secondly deliverable.			
Welcome the use of the Well-being Impact	Natural	Support welcomed	None required
Assessment toolkit which will ensure	Resources		
compliance with Well-being of Future	Wales		
Generations (Wales) Act 2015 and the			
Planning (Wales) Act 2015			
We note that the LDP vision will be assessed	Natural	Comments noted	None required
as part of the review process and that it will be	Resources		
determined whether the existing vision needs	Wales		
to be altered or amended as part of the			
Replacement LDP.			
We note that the Council has submitted three	Natural	Comments noted	None required
Annual Monitoring Reports to Welsh	Resources		
Government since LDP adoption and that all	Wales		
three identified three principal areas of			
concern with regard to local policy			
performance that the Council must focus on			
when drafting new local policies and when			
making changes to the LDP monitoring			
framework.			
We welcome the confirmation that the	Natural	Support welcomed	None required
replacement LDP will have regard to any Area	Resources		
Statements produced by NRW as required by	Wales		
the Environment (Wales) Act 2016. The act			
also includes statutory targets for the			
reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.			
The Joint Committee notes the content of the	AONB JAC	Support welcomed	None required
LDP Review Report and supports the			
conclusion that a review is required. The			
committee also commends Denbighshire's aim			
to maintain up to date local development plan			
coverage for the county.			
An issue which the committee would	AONB JAC	Suggestion to be accommodated in the	None required
emphasise should form part of the review is		forthcoming revision of the adopted Plan; with	
the approach to AONB policy to ensure better		AONB JAC being invited to put forward	
alignment of approaches across all three local		proposals re the re-wording of local policy.	
planning authorities which cover the area and			

			_
to reflect the AONB SPG which is currently in			
preparation. This is to ensure a consistent			
cross boundary approach to conservation of			
this nationally protected asset.			
Welcome opportunity to comment on Review	Anwyl	Comments noted	None required
Report and wish to be involved in all stages as	Construction Co		
a local stakeholder.	Ltd		
The Review Report sets out unsubstantiated	Anwyl	Evidence gathered as part of the annual Joint	None required
commentary including the Councils suggested	Construction Co	Housing Land Availability Studies supports this.	
reasoning for the plan failing to achieve the	Ltd	Appendix 2 of the Review Report sets out	
planned-for levels of Housing Growth. This		progress on allocated housing sites which has	
approach was a source of considerable		been limited.	
concern and ultimately delay during in			
preparation of the current LDP. ACCL fully			
supports a more collaborate and inclusive			
approach. Examples include:			
Para 4.12. Comprehensive discussions with			
landowners and potential developers have			
indicated that demand in the County for new			
housing is comparatively low, which is also			
reflected in the annual completions. There is			
no evidence in the Review Report or			
Background Papers to support this.			
Para 4.13 It is apparent that the annual growth	Anwyl	The growth levels presented at examination	None required
levels [sic] is unrealistic and will not meet the	Construction Co	were based on the best available evidence at	
initially projected growth over the remaining	Ltd	the time and the Inspector was satisfied that	
lifetime of the Plan. The Council satisfied the		they were 'sound'. Developers and their	
Examining Inspectors that the levels of growth		representatives also opined that the growth	
proposed (the Councils used its own		levels were achievable.	
projections) were realistic and achievable. The			
Council went to considerable lengths during			
the delayed Examination to evidence this.			
Para 4.16 issues such as flood risk;	Anwyl	Comments relate to Rhyl & Prestatyn which are	None required
topography; environmental designations and	Construction Co	heavily constrained.	
infrastructure capacity. These constraints have	Ltd	-	
resulted in levels of growth for these areas			
being lower than those previously			
experienced.			
Examining Inspectors that the levels of growth proposed (the Councils used its own projections) were realistic and achievable. The Council went to considerable lengths during the delayed Examination to evidence this. Para 4.16 issues such as flood risk; topography; environmental designations and infrastructure capacity. These constraints have resulted in levels of growth for these areas being lower than those previously	Construction Co	representatives also opined that the growth levels were achievable. Comments relate to Rhyl & Prestatyn which are	None required

Para 4.23 The implementation of the LDP Growth Strategy primarily suffers from the significantly low number of new homes coming forward through the planning system. Other Council Plans state " there are signs that the housing market is relatively buoyant in Denbighshire compared to the lean years following recession in 2008-9".	Anwyl Construction Co Ltd	Evidence from the annual Joint Housing Land Availability studies shows that annual completion rates have fluctuated from 127 units to 181 units per annum since adoption of the LDP in 2013. There has been no evidence of an increasing trend of completions which may indicate a recovery in the housing market.	None required.
Para 4.24 Since previous WG population and household growth projections were grossly overestimated The LDP growth strategy was as ACCL understand it based upon growth protections the Council itself produced.	Anwyl Construction Co Ltd	The adopted LDP was Examined and found to be 'Sound' based on evidence at the time.	None required
ACCL does not consider that reducing the level of planned-for growth (and by extension the number of homes planned) is the way to resolve a lack of housing supply and/or housing land availability. ACCL similarly does not consider the "planning system" is the reason why homes are not being delivered — many other issues can impact on delivery including the inappropriate or undeliverable allocations and inflexibility in the Development Plan in place, the willingness of landowners to release land and other policy requirements (eg affordable housing, S106 obligations, national requirements impacting of viability and construction costs.)	Anwyl Construction Co Ltd	Growth levels for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required
Support current LDP growth levels	Anwyl Construction Co Ltd	Growth levels for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required.
Replacement LDP must be based on credible, objective, up to date evidence. ACCL is concerned that the language used in respect of Housing Delivery in the Review Report already appears to re-visit issues which the Council accepted were not barriers to Housing Delivery. The success of intervention tools	Anwyl Construction Co Ltd	Replacement LDP will be developed based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required.

such as Help to Buy demonstrate is just one			
example of how housing delivery can be			
supported and accelerated.			
ACCL considers that additional land is	Anwyl	Consideration of sites for the replacement LDP	None required.
available and capable of contributing to	Construction Co	will take place at a later stage of LDP	
housing delivery in sustainable and market	Ltd	preparation.	
attractive locations and that the Review should			
proactively seek to deliver this land to address			
the existing undersupply and deliver new			
housing.			
ACCL considers the Review must consider	Anwyl	Consideration of sites for the replacement LDP	None required.
whether it is appropriate to maintain the KSS	Construction Co	will take place at a later stage of LDP	
as an allocation in the Replacement LDP.	Ltd	preparation.	
The Review should reflect on the requirement	Anwyl	Replacement LDP will be developed based on	None required.
for General Conformity with the emerging	Construction Co	up to date evidence and strategies and will be	
National Development Framework for Wales	Ltd	consulted upon at a later stage.	
and take full account of the vision of the North			
Wales Economic Ambition Board to deliver			
120000 new job opportunities in north Wales			
to 2035, associated Growth Bid activities and			
the potential A55 corridor Strategic			
Development Plan provided for in the Planning			
(Wales) Act 2015. Regional Growth aspiration			
clearly points towards a requirement for			
significant additional housing to support			
employment and job creation.			
Seeking to reducing housing land supply over	Anwyl	The Review Report is factual and highlights the	Introduction of new paragraph 1.7:
a period to 2035 in the opinion of ACCL	Construction Co	need for a replacement LDP. The background	Appendices A to E are five 'Information
contradicts the wider economic growth	Ltd	papers are living documents and will be updated	Papers', corresponding to the five LDP
objectives and may have severely negative		and consulted upon at the various stages of	themes. They supplement the main
impacts for the growth agenda and Wellbeing		LDP preparation.	document, and present detailed
Objectives. The Review and Background			information and data on individual local
Papers should therefore examine and explore			policies, changes in legislation and
all sources of evidence of housing need,			local circumstances. The rationale
including the starting point of Welsh			behind this approach is to maintain
Government household protections, the Local			'living-documents' which are frequently
Housing Market Assessment and needs			updated to inform the revision of the LDP.
arising from the North Wales Economic			LUP.

Ambition Board to ensure that sufficient housing land to deliver all these objectives is identified and planned for with sufficient freeboard for flexibility and change to reduce the risk of a second failure.			
ACCL therefore considers that the Review Report should consider the appropriateness of higher levels of growth and greater additional flexibility through the allocation of additional land in sustainable locations where people want to live and where existing social and physical infrastructure exists to support or be enhanced by it.	Anwyl Construction Co Ltd	This is not the role of the Review Report which is a factual report looking at the past performance of the current LDP and highlighting areas for reconsideration.	None required
I agree with the statement that Denbighshire follows the guidelines of the Welsh Government with its language strategy, but it is rather negative. Respecting distinctiveness is rather insulting term from the county and Welsh Government. The Welsh language is paramount to every resident within Wales - Cymru. Is English a distinct language also? If the Welsh Government aim to have one million Welsh speakers by 2050 isn't this pie in the sky with this kind of strategy. One way of reaching this goal would be to build new Welsh Medium Schools in areas which will have housing developments. Could find out where the owners of new houses originated from?	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	The term 'Respecting Distinctiveness' was used in the adopted LDP as it was a theme in the Wales Spatial Plan. Detailed policies for the replacement LDP will be developed based on evidence and consulted upon at a later stage. An update to the 2011 New Housing Occupancy Study is being carried out and will provide further information on where the occupants of new housing in Denbighshire have originated from.	None required.
In the last LDP for instance Barwoods agreed to place money to develop the Welsh language in Bodelwyddan, this should be enhanced further with the condition that every housing development over 80 houses should provide money to enhance the Welsh language and culture. Those under 80 could also provide money but on a lower ratio.	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	Detailed policies and requirements for the replacement LDP will be developed based on evidence and consulted upon at a later stage.	None required

Sir Ddinbych has been challenged to build a certain amount of houses. In the last LDP the target was 7,500 houses during 2006 - 2021. Land has been specified for such houses including Bodelwyddan. Again this is pie in the sky, the amount of houses within the target should be lessened by 2,500. It isn't Denbighshire's fault that developers haven't the finances to build houses and surely it will be worse in the coming years with Brexit.	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	Growth levels for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required
Affordable and modern houses are needed in our communities, projects such as County Council built houses should be supported by the Welsh Government and Sir Ddinbych. A good start is the 170 such houses in the pipeline.	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	Comment noted.	None required
Mae'n rhaid cynyddu nifer yr aneddiadau fforddiadwy newydd a adeiladir yn y Sir. Mae 170 o dai cyngor yn gam i'r cyfeiriad cywir. Rhaid i Llywodraeth Cymru a Sir Ddinbych gynyddu y nifer o dai fforddiadwy a gwblhawyd yn 2016-2017. Pum deg pump, siomedig iawn! Rhaid ceisio ymdrechu ymhellach i droi tai gwag yn dai fforddiadwy, angen eu hailddefnyddio yn bendant. Beth am gynlluniau i adeiladu mwy o dai cysgodol. Paham na fedrwch ddatblygu safle ysbyty meddwl Dinbych i'r cyfeiriad hwn? Wrth gwrs mi fedrwch adeiladu tai cyngor yno hefyd a thai fforddiadwy dan ofal cymdeithasau tai fel Cynefin.	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	Bydd polisïau a gofynion manwl ar gyfer y CDLI newydd yn cael eu datblygu yn seiliedig ar dystiolaeth ac ymgynghorir arnynt yn ddiweddarach.	Dim angen
Mae angen yr un meddylfryd i gynnal a gwella bywiogrwydd a hyfywedd canol trefi. Adeiladu fflatiau a thai fforddiadwy ynddynt yn cynnwys siopiau.	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	Bydd polisïau a gofynion manwl ar gyfer y CDLI newydd yn cael eu datblygu yn seiliedig ar dystiolaeth ac ymgynghorir arnynt yn ddiweddarach.	Dim angen

Un peth sydd yn llethu datblygiadau tai yw datblygwyr yn cael caniatad i adeiladu nifer o dai ar ddarn o dir am bum mlynedd. Maent yn gosod y seiliau ac oherwydd nid oes angen caniatad adeiladu yn ystod y pum mlynedd nesaf. Mae gennym engraifft o hyn yn Rhuddlan. Rhaid i bob Sir a Llywodraethau Prydeinig a Chymreig ddatrys y broblem hon.	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	Sylwadau wedi'u nodi.	Dim angen
Rhaid amddiffyn lleoedd agored yn ein cymunedau, meysydd chwarae, parciau cyhoeddus. Hefyd ardaloedd gwyrdd rhwng trefi, er enghraifft rhwng Rhyl a Rhuddlan, mae yr ardaloedd hyn yn aml yn cynnig amrywiaeth o fywyd gwyllt i'n cymunedau. Rhaid diogelu y bioamrywiaeth yn ein hardaloedd fel mae deddf amgylchedd Cymru 2016 yn nodi. Yr un modd ein hadeiladau rhestredig ac yn y blaen.	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	Bydd polisïau a gofynion manwl ar gyfer y CDLI newydd yn cael eu datblygu yn seiliedig ar dystiolaeth ac ymgynghorir arnynt yn ddiweddarach.	Dim angen
Rwyf yn methu deall pam fod yn rhaid i'r Sir chwilio am safleoedd adeiladu ychwanegol yn ystod y pum mlynedd nesaf. Mae Polisi Cynllunio Cymru yn pwysleisio'r angen i Awdurdodau Cynllunio Lleol ddangos bod cyflenwad tir tai 5 mlynedd ar gael. Nid oes unrhyw synnwyr yn hyn, mae y tir ar gael rwan a dim un tŷ wedi adeiladu arnynt. Mae TAN 1 cyd astudiaethau argaeledd tir ar gyfer tai yn bwrpasol yn creu gofid yn ein cymunedau.	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	Bydd lefelau twf ar gyfer y CDLI newydd yn cael eu pennu yn seiliedig ar dystiolaeth gyfredol a byddant yn ymgynghori â hwy yn nes ymlaen.	Dim angen
I orffen mae gennym ddigon o dir yn barod i adeiladu ychwaneg o dai, nid oes angen mwy o dir.	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	Bydd lefelau twf ar gyfer y CDLl newydd yn cael eu pennu yn seiliedig ar dystiolaeth gyfredol a byddant yn ymgynghori â hwy yn nes ymlaen.	Dim angen
RHAID I'r CYNLLUN DATBLYGU LLEOL nesaf fod yn fwy realistig ynghlyn â'r hyn y gellir ei darparu mewn cyfyngiadau fel	Cynghorydd Arwel Roberts	Bydd lefelau twf ar gyfer y CDLl newydd yn cael eu pennu yn seiliedig ar dystiolaeth gyfredol a byddant yn ymgynghori â hwy yn nes ymlaen.	Dim angen

isadeiladwaith, hyfywdra a chapasiti y sector adeiladu.			
I believe that a strongly worded letter should be sent to the Welsh Government criticising them for their flawed calculations regarding projected population numbers, and insisting that the LDP should place greater weight on local demand rather than some arbitrary population growth, which creates a flawed Development Plan.	Cynghorydd Mabon ap Gwynfor	Comments noted. Growth levels for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None Required
Research used by DCC showed that demand for affordable housing was 59% yet the Welsh Government's Planning Inspectorate forced DCC to accept 10%. This is wholly unacceptable and DCC should, in my view, make that clear before we start to review the current plan, which will in effect set the foundations of the next Plan.	Cynghorydd Mabon ap Gwynfor	Comments noted. Growth levels and affordable housing requirements for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None Required
Flintshire County Council are pleased to see Denbighshire embarking on a review of the LDP as required by virtue of the relevant 2004 legislation. Furthermore the opportunity to comment on the document is appreciated. In addition FCC would welcome working closely with Denbighshire on the review alongside the progression of our Local Development Plan given that the Preferred Strategy will shortly be going out to consultation. Welcome joint working on the evidence base. Having looked at the Review Report and its accompanying information papers I have not identified any specific areas or issues of concern for Flintshire as one of your neighbouring authorities.	Flintshire County Council	Comments welcomed, joint working on preparing an evidence base is supported.	None required
The document itself is obscure in that it outlines this review as a 'first step' and then concludes that it will 'fully review this LDP and	Carole Roxburgh	Agreed, comment noted	Introduction to be reworded to provide greater clarity on the purpose of the

other documents to then draw up a replacement plan'. It also reviews Council performance in the absence of the issues at large. Clarification is required.			document, and restructure of individual paragraphs.
The document acknowledges that 'comprehensive discussions with landowners and potential developers have indicated that demand in the County for new housing is comparatively low' and that the 'annual growth levels is unrealistic.' In addition it states that the Welsh Government 'population and household growth projections were grossly overestimated.' This is concerning as the last minute inclusion of a number of sites into the LDP seems to have been inappropriate and resulted in greenfield sites coming forward for development at the expense of brownfield sites. This is in contrary to Planning Policy Wales' preference for development on brownfield sites before greenfield.	Carole Roxburgh	The adopted LDP was Examined and found to be 'Sound' based on evidence at the time.	None required
Annual monitoring reviews are conducted to show the performance of the Council to meet the targets. It now appears that the failure to produce the number of new builds in line with the current LDP may be as a result of unrealistic and inappropriate target setting and low housing need. If it is the case that the review allows the monitoring and performance of the Council then there is a need to review their efforts to present accurate figures to the Welsh Government and negotiate appropriate targets. The work the Council has or has not performed in relation to this should be included within the review. When can we expect more realistic figures?	Carole Roxburgh	Growth levels for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required
While the production of a new LDP is required, it is a lengthy process. What is the process for amending the current LDP to remove those	Carole Roxburgh	This is the process for reviewing and replacing the current LDP. The Review Report highlights issues that cannot be dealt with via a 'light	None required.

(1 19) 1 24 1 41 424	T		
'additional sites' that it appears (given the		touch' review as they go to the heart of the LDP	
content of this review) should not have been		strategy. There is no mechanism to remove	
included in the first place?		sites from the current LDP in the short term.	
The document itself is obscure in that it	GH&EJ	Agreed, comment noted	Introduction to be reworded to provide
outlines this review as a 'first step' and then	Williams		greater clarity on the purpose of the
concludes that it will 'fully review this LDP and			document, and restructure of individual
other documents to then draw up a			paragraphs.
replacement plan'. It also reviews Council			
performance in the absence of the issues at			
large. Clarification is required.			
The document acknowledges that	GH&EJ	The adopted LDP was Examined and found to	None required
'comprehensive discussions with landowners	Williams	be 'Sound' based on evidence at the time.	1.0000
and potential developers have indicated that			
demand in the County for new housing is			
comparatively low' and that the 'annual growth			
levels is unrealistic.' In addition it states that			
the Welsh Government 'population and			
household growth projections were grossly			
overestimated.'			
This is concerning as the last minute inclusion			
of a number of sites into the LDP seems to			
have been inappropriate and resulted in			
greenfield sites coming forward for			
development at the expense of brownfield			
sites. This is in contrary to Planning Policy			
Wales' preference for development on			
brownfield sites before greenfield.			
Annual monitoring reviews are conducted to	GH&EJ	Growth levels for the replacement LDP will be	None required.
show the performance of the Council to meet	Williams	determined based on up to date evidence and	
the targets. It now appears that the failure to		will be consulted upon at a later stage.	
produce the number of new builds in line with			
the current LDP may be as a result of			
unrealistic and inappropriate target setting and			
low housing need. If it is the case that the			
review allows the monitoring and performance			
of the Council then there is a need to review			
their efforts to present accurate figures to the			
Welsh Government and negotiate appropriate			
targets. The work the Council has or has not			

performed in relation to this should be included within the review. When can we expect more realistic figures? While the production of a new LDP is required,	GH&EJ	This is the process for reviewing and replacing	None required.
it is a lengthy process. What is the process for amending the current LDP to remove those 'additional sites' that it appears (given the content of this review) should not have been included in the first place?	Williams	the current LDP. The Review Report highlights issues that cannot be dealt with via a 'light touch' review as they go to the heart of the LDP strategy. There is no mechanism to remove sites from the current LDP in the short term.	
Is it the intention to amend the current LDP or simply use the LDP report as the first step to develop a new LDP after 2021? The document is ambiguous about this point.	Dr H Watkin	The LDP Review Report will be used as a first step towards developing a replacement LDP to be adopted by 2021.	None required.
What is the procedure to make amendments to the current LDP before 2021 (irrespective of whether the Council intend to do so or not)?	Dr H Watkin	This is the process for reviewing and replacing the current LDP. The Review Report highlights issues that cannot be dealt with via a 'light touch' review as they go to the heart of the LDP strategy. There is no mechanism to remove sites from the current LDP in the short term.	None required
The LDP review report provides evidence to back its claim that the original population growth and demand for housing are unrealistic and indeed were grossly overestimated. The Council then berates itself for completely failing to deliver the housing levels in the Planning Strategy. It is difficult to understand why the Council takes itself to task for failing to meet a demand which was grossly overestimated.	Dr H Watkin	Comments noted	None required
Has the Council discussed with Welsh Government the 2015 figures and projections? If not does it intend to do so? Will it press for an amended and lower target of new homes before 2021?	Dr H Watkin	The updated population and household projections will inform discussions on the LDP preferred growth strategy when this is developed in the future. It is not possible to comment on what future growth levels in the replacement LDP will be as they will emerge following engagement and consultation.	None required.

In paragraph 2.2 Welsh Government provide three triggers for reviewing an adopted LDP: (1) Significant contextual change (2) Significant concerns from the findings of the AMR in terms of policy effectiveness, progress rates and any problems with implementation (3) S69 / Regulation 41 review requirement Does the Council regard the "grossly overestimated" demand for housing a significant contextual change? (If not why not?)	Dr H Watkin	The conclusions of the various Annual Monitoring Reports and Review Report indicate that there is evidence that a full replacement LDP is required. There is a requirement to review an LDP 4 years from adoption which is 2017 as the LDP was adopted in 2013.	None required
Does it regard the overestimated figures as a significant concern? (If not why not) Will the current situation trigger a review of the adopted LDP, aiming for a change in the target figures for housing?	Dr H Watkin	The adopted LDP was Examined and found to be 'Sound' based on evidence at the time. There is a requirement to review the LDP and consider a replacement every 4 years from adoption. The growth levels in the replacement LDP will be determined following analysis of the evidence and engagement and consultation at the appropriate stage.	None required
The following are questions and observations regarding Denbigh itself. Denbigh has been recognised as a low growth town. There has been no demand for the 8 hectares of new employment land with consideration to de-allocate the site. This lack of new employment development confirms Denbigh as a low growth town.	Dr H Watkin	The growth status of Denbigh in the replacement LDP will be determined following analysis of the evidence and engagement and consultation at the appropriate stage.	None required
Most of the allocated sites for development in Denbigh have not been taken up by developers. The LDP report mentions viability concerns as an important reason for a general lack of take up of allocated land within the county. This is consistent with Denbigh being a low growth town with low demand for new employment sites and housing.	Dr H Watkin	The growth status of Denbigh and any relevant allocations in the replacement LDP will be determined following analysis of the evidence and engagement and consultation at the appropriate stage.	None required

Denbigh has three brownfield sites; the North Wales Hospital, the Middle Lane site and the old Railway site, only the last having been developed for retail. Planning Policy Wales emphasises the preference for the development of brownfield sites.	Dr H Watkin	All three sites are subject to active development proposals. The current LDP prioritises the reuse of brownfield land in-line with national policy.	None required.
Denbigh and Denbighshire residents, together with their elected representatives, have become tired of waiting for progress to develop the derelict and deteriorating North Wales Hospital site. It has even been noted in the national press as being a disgrace with a redevelopment long overdue. The redevelopment of the site will depend on the sale of residential properties within it. Given the lack of new employment (other than a few jobs in recently built shops in town) and the lack of take up of existing development land, partly because of viability concerns, logically this will make it more difficult to redevelop the North Wales Hospital site which will depend on the sale of approximately 300 homes. To develop the North Wales Hospital site before using green fields would renovate a brownfield site and remove a derelict eyesore. It would protect green land and thus be a much more sustainable solution, in keeping with Planning Policy Wales	Dr H Watkin	Compulsory purchase of this site is outside of the LDP process. The Council is actively seeking a resolution for this site which will see it being brought back into beneficial use.	None required.
Now that a compulsory purchase order has been granted for the North Wales Hospital site, will the site be designated as a brownfield site within any amendment to the LDP, for priority development?	Dr H Watkin	The site is brownfield and is subject to a pending planning permission. Any specific allocation of the site in the replacement LDP will be dependent upon its planning status at the pre-deposit and deposit stages.	None required
The LDP Review Report para 2.6 states its aim as not providing detailed amendments to the LDP but is aimed at obtaining support for	Dr H Watkin	It is support for the principle of working on a replacement LDP that is being sought. The detail will be consulted upon at the appropriate stages.	None required

the ambition of starting the process of a replacement LDP. It is difficult to gain public support for an ambition which lacks any detail of what is proposed.			
It is likely from the comments of the public in Denbigh and both the Elected Representatives in the Town Council and across the County Council, that there would be support for a reduction in the housing numbers to be built and for brownfield sites to be prioritised.	Dr H Watkin	The growth status of Denbigh in the replacement LDP will be determined following analysis of the evidence and engagement and consultation at the appropriate stage.	None required
Whilst it is recognised that the housing supply numbers were foisted upon Denbighshire by the Planning Inspectorate at the stage of developing the LDP, it is less clear that the Planning Authority have made any effort to try to amend the housing numbers in the strategy.	Dr H Watkin	The LDP Review Report will be used as a first step towards developing a replacement LDP to be adopted by 2021. The appropriate mechanism for any amendments to the housing numbers is through the development of a replacement LDP.	None required
The inability to bring on the large development in Bodelwyddan has of course put more pressure on other areas of the county allocated for development.	Dr H Watkin	The Key Strategic Site at Bodelwyddan is only one site within the wider growth and spatial strategy of the current LDP, other sites in other areas were also allocated for housing as part of the adopted LDP.	None required
There is clearly a disconnect between the planning authority and the Elected Members of the whole county and Denbighshire residents, who have always argued that the housing development allocation was too high. This is reflected in the refusal to grant planning permission at Whitchurch / Old Ruthin Road and Meliden. This problem should be addressed by the County at the highest level.	Dr H Watkin	The current LDP is a Denbighshire County Council document that was formally adopted by the Full Council of elected members in 2013. Officers and Elected Members of the Council work closely together in partnership and will continue to do so for the replacement LDP.	None required
Will the County Councillors and the LPA come together to amend the LDP?	Dr H Watkin	Elected members and officers worked closely together on the development of the current LDP which was adopted by Full Council in 2013. This close working relationship will continue for the replacement LDP.	None required

The Canal and River Trusts (the Trust) interest in the authority area is contained to the Llangollen canal and associated infrastructure, having reviewed the consultation documents the Trust have no comments to make at this stage.	Canal and River Trust	Support welcomed.	None Required
Site in Rhyl promoted	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	There has been no call for candidate sites as part of the Replacement LDP process as yet. Representor will be added to LDP database and notified at the appropriate time.	None required
A review of the projected annual growth should not result in a reduction in the number of dwellings required. The use of the 2015 based migration trends include population growth figures which are impacted by a significant period of economic recession. The use of figures which are supressed by a recession, without including a 'catch-up' rate, may result in the Council not meeting the needs of their population. The economic growth figure is supressed further by the chronic under-delivery of housing within Denbighshire.	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	Growth levels for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required
Paragraph 4.12 of the LDP Review Report the Council acknowledges that the housing completions have not reached the annual minimum requirement of 533 (727 dwellings for the period from 2016/2017 onwards) since the LDP was adopted in June 2013. By not delivering housing growth the Council are restricting the levels of economic growth which can occur. A continuation of the existing spatial growth strategy is likely to perpetuate the present lack of delivery and subsequently cause further economic decline.	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	Growth levels and the spatial strategy for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required
Paragraph 4.12: "Comprehensive discussions with landowners and potential developers	Hourigan Connolly for	Comments noted.	None required

have indicated that demand in the County for new housing is comparatively low, which is also reflected in the annual completions" Hourigan Connolly are active within North Wales and have spoken with several regional housebuilders and developers. It is clear from having worked with developers that there is demand for housing, retail and employment development within Denbighshire. Our client has been approached at various intervals by national developers seeking to have a Rhyl based operation.	Coach Road Developments Ltd		
The Council state in Paragraph 4.13 that the LDP Growth Strategy will need to reflect the future need, in light of population and household projections. Our client is supportive of this approach and agrees that population and household growth projections need to be met. However, it is asserted that the population and household growth projections should be positively prepared and adjusted to reflect the impact of both the recession and persistent under-delivery of housing.	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	Growth levels for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required
Paragraph 4.13: "it is apparent that the annual growth levels is unrealistic and will not meet the initially projected growth over the remaining lifetime of the Plan" This statement lacks clarity or evidence, there is no substantiated evidence provided at this juncture which supports the assertion that the growth levels are unrealistic. The Council should be taking a pro-active and positive approach to growth and be seeking alternative methods to actively increase rates of delivery. This may be through the release of additional sites for both housing and employment land, or through the relaxation of certain policies. It should not simply seek to change the housing	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	Growth levels for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required

requirement, as implied within this statement, without significant additional evidence relating to need.			
Paragraph 4.14 Our Client agrees with the Council that the provision of affordable and market houses to meet the identified need is crucial to the growth of Denbighshire.	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	Support welcomed.	None required
Contend that the Council did not allocate sufficient land to deliver 7,500 dwellings in the current LDP.	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	The independent Inspector appointed to Examine the soundness of the current LDP considered that sufficient land was allocated to meet housing need. The lower levels of housing delivered are as a result of factors such as the depressed housing market and unrealistic expectations of land values from land owners.	None required
Consider there was over-reliance on the KSS at Bodelwyddan given that lead in times for major sites are significant. Insufficient other allocations were made to accommodate slow delivery on the KSS.	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	The independent Inspector appointed to Examine the soundness of the current LDP considered that sufficient land was allocated to meet housing need. The lower levels of housing delivered are as a result of factors such as the depressed housing market and unrealistic expectations of land values from land owners.	None required
The Council accepts within Paragraph 4.23 that the implementation of the LDP Growth Strategy primarily suffers from the significantly low number of new homes coming forward through the planning system. Our client agrees with the Council on this point, although does not agree that this is due to a lack of demand. Rather the under delivery is a product of the Plan allocating too many sites in areas where the delivery of homes is unviable and too few deliverable sites being allocated.	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	The independent Inspector appointed to Examine the soundness of the current LDP considered that sufficient deliverable land was allocated to meet housing need.	None required
The plan also included a high proportion of brownfield sites in areas which may not have the values required to render this type of development viable.	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	The prioritisation of brown field sites is in-line with national policy. There is not a large amount of brown field land in Denbighshire and the allocation of a significant amount of green field	None required

		land was necessary to meet the housing growth level in the current LDP	
The Council identifies within paragraph 4.30 that there has been an under-delivery of affordable housing within the county. The Council is evidently not meeting the affordable housing needs of the area, and the failure to deliver market housing is a contributing factor to this. In order to boost delivery levels, it is recommended that the Council allocate sufficient deliverable sites as part of the LDP review. A concentration solely on brownfield development first will not help. There needs to be a step-change in thinking and an acceptance that greenfield release is required to meet the demands of the development industry and to help meet the Council's aspirations for the County.	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	The prioritisation of brown field sites is in-line with national policy. There is not a large amount of brown field land in Denbighshire and the allocation of a significant amount of green field land was necessary to meet the housing growth level in the current LDP	None required
Welcome review of Green Barriers and emphasise that they are temporary. Contend that the need to increase the housing land supply should outweigh Green Barrier designation (linked to site being promoted).	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	Green Barrier review and the growth levels for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required
Opposed to the allocation of Bodelwyddan Key Strategic Site in current LDP.	Medwen Williams	Key Strategic Site is an allocation in an adopted LDP and has outline planning permission. The contribution the Key Strategic Site will make in the replacement LDP will be assessed at the appropriate time.	None required
Yn gwrthwynebu dyraniad Safle Strategol Allweddol Bodelwyddan yn y CDLI cyfredol.	Tom Williams	Mae'r Safle Strategol Allweddol yn ddyraniad mewn CDLI mabwysiedig ac mae ganddo ganiatâd cynllunio amlinellol. Bydd cyfraniad y bydd y Safle Strategol Allweddol yn ei wneud yn y CDLI newydd yn cael ei asesu ar yr adeg briodol.	Dim angen
In considering a Review of the LDP, we are of the opinion that the tenets of the LDP's Vision and Objectives remain unobjectionable; their	LRM Planning Ltd for Barwood Land and Estates Ltd	Comment noted.	None required

relevance and importance have not diminished			
over time and should endure.			
The LDP identified the KSS as a proposal able	LRM Planning	Comment noted.	None required.
to deliver across each of these objectives. It is	Ltd for Barwood		
intrinsic to the LDP strategy and is reflected in	Land and		
the Objective 13 which seeks to ensure that	Estates Ltd		
ensure that mixed use development sites are			
brought forward in key locations to ensure a			
better delivery of infrastructure and to support			
well integrated communities.			
Policy BSC1, which is referred to as the	LRM Planning	The Review Report refers to the findings of the	None required.
subject of "significant concerns" in the review	Ltd for Barwood	LDP Annual Monitoring Reports, which show	·
code because of potential lower levels of	Land and	policy BSC1 is not performing as required	
housing requirement in the future and low	Estates Ltd	against the LDP monitoring framework. Growth	
rates of housing completions, this must be		levels for the replacement LDP will be	
seen in the context of the especially difficult		determined based on up to date evidence and	
economic climate that has endured for almost		will be consulted upon at a later stage.	
10 years and which has resulted in reduced		·	
migration rates, constraints to household			
formation and a contraction in the housing			
market.			
These characteristics do not present a sound			
basis for the Review of the LDP and a more			
rounded consideration of future requirements			
is needed as required by Planning Policy			
Wales para 9.2.2.			
Whilst a review of employment land is to be	LRM Planning	Comment noted	None required
undertaken, we don't not believe this is will	Ltd for Barwood		
identify a location with the strategic	Land and		
advantages of Bodelwyddan and free from	Estates Ltd		
constraints with direct access to the A55 that			
performs equal to the KSS.			
Planning permission for the KSS was granted	LRM Planning	Commented noted	None required
in March 2016, a little over 18 months ago and	Ltd for Barwood		
therefore represents a housing and	Land and		
employment commitment. The terms of the	Estates Ltd		
permission anticipate that the KSS will be			
developed over the long-term and accordingly			

its implementation is deep-rooted within and central to the development strategy in the next plan period.			
Trefnant Inn site not mentioned in report	Trefnant Community Council	The table at Appendix 1 only includes sites that were allocated for housing in the adopted LDP. The Trefnant Inn site was not an allocated site.	None required
Question whether there is demands for further housing in Trefnant, undeveloped allocations, over 75 houses built in past 10 years, increased building costs, no demand for affordable housing.	Trefnant Community Council	Denbighshire Joint Housing Land Availability Study records show that a total of 32 dwellings have been built in the Trefnant Community Council area since 2006. The growth status of Trefnant in the replacement LDP will be determined following analysis of the evidence, and engagement and consultation at the appropriate stage.	None required
The report highlights the changing demographics and economic downturn which has reduced the demand for new housing and even with the downgraded targets numbers are not being realised. TCC question whether the downgraded targets remain unrealisable whilst the economic climate remains depressed.	Trefnant Community Council	The replacement LDP will be developed based on up to date evidence and consulted upon at the appropriate stage.	None required
Demand remains high in smaller villages which are not being granted permission and demand for new housing on larger sites may satisfy the targets but do not address the real demand. Downgrading the targets and encouraging smaller developments in villages may reverse this trend, possibly increasing the number to 5 houses before affordable housing is required.	Trefnant Community Council	The replacement LDP will be developed based on up to date evidence and consulted upon at the appropriate stage.	None required
Welcome proposed full review of the LDP.	Home Builders Federation	Support welcomed	None required
Strongly object to proposed suggestion that the way to resolve the lack of suitable land for housing is to reduce number of homes required.	Home Builders Federation	Growth levels for the replacement LDP will be determined based on up to date evidence and will be consulted upon at a later stage.	None required

Refer to the then Minister for Housing and Regeneration letter sent to LPA's in April 2014 reaffirmed how projections should be used, this advised that: 'Local planning authorities should consider the appropriateness of the projections for their area, based on all sources of local evidence. This should include considering the economic background to the projections.'	Home Builders Federation	Comments noted	None required
'Help to Buy' initiative has had significant impact on housing market with 6,000 people using scheme to buy a house, predominantly first time buyers.	Home Builders Federation	Help to Buy sits outside of the land use planning process. Any uplift in house sales will be reflected in the updated evidence base that will be used in developing the replacement LDP.	None required.
Table 1 & 2 could also include the Welsh Government Household Projections figures for 2011 which were published in 2014 as this would help to demonstrate how the figures have changed over time.	Home Builders Federation	Agreed. Table in Review Report and Information Paper Building Sustainable Communities to be updated.	Tables in Review Report and Information Paper Building Sustainable Communities updated.
Figure 1 a note should be added to explain that the housing completions figures have been significantly affected by the non-delivery of one large strategic site (Bodelwyddan) on which the plan was heavily reliant.	Home Builders Federation	The longer lead in time than anticipated in commencement on the KSS has impacted on housing delivery. Around 600 units were anticipated to have been built by the end of 2017 which whilst significant is not solely responsible for under delivery of housing numbers across the County.	None required.
4.13 There are other factors that could affect the housing numbers such as, economic growth ambitions, North Wales Growth Deal and the failure of the plan to deliver over the previous plan period creating a backlog of demand. Such factors should be included and explained in this paragraph. Or be dealt with separately in more detail in separate paragraphs. Note should be taken of The North Wales economic ambition board report; A Growth Vision for the Economy of North Wales July 2016 and The Cardiff Capital Region City Deal Growth & Competitiveness	Home Builders Federation	These documents will inform the replacement LDP.	None required.

Commission which makes recommendations relevant to North Wales regarding the need for an effective housing market to aid labour productivity. 4.23 The HBF strongly objects to the statement 'The implementation of the LDP Growth Strategy primarily suffers from the significantly low number of new homes coming forward through the planning system.' and consider that this requires further explanation. All new homes need planning, but there are lots of other reasons why planning applications may never get submitted, these include: land owners not being willing to	Home Builders Federation	The lower levels of housing delivery are a statement of fact as shown in the annual Joint Housing Land Availability Studies. Many of the causes of lower levels of delivery lie outside of the LDP and planning process such as wider economic climate, high expectations of land values etc. In terms of required contributions, it is vital that all developments are sustainable and contribute.	None required.
include; land owners not being willing to release land, viability issues, lack of market demand, overly restrictive planning polices deterring potential developers, lack of bank finance. These also need to be explained and considered. An example of this relates to the education contributions requested on development sites which members have highlighted a number of times as having a significant impact on the viability of sites in the Borough.		all developments are sustainable and contribute to well-being objectives. Denbighshire is a County not a Borough.	
4.24 The HBF strongly objects to the statement 'Since previous WG population and household growth projections were grossly overestimated', and do not consider this is for the Council to say as these figures were considered to be correct at the time and where based on the relevant factors at the time. It may be fair to say these factors changed and this was then allowed for in the more recent projections which changed. Each set of projections will take account of different factors, the point that HBF and other have previously made is that if the period on which the projections are based includes a down turn in the economy future plans based on these	Home Builders Federation	This is a statement of fact as the population and household growth predicted has not materialised. Revised projections will form part of the evidence base for the replacement LDP.	None required

projections will effectively plan based on a continued failure in the market. Ultimately the HBF suggests that the plan should provide for future need and growth as well as taking account of current need and historical under delivery. The figures alone cannot be blamed for the failure of the plan to deliver. Whilst this latest WG projections generally indicates a need for less housing across Wales than the previous 2011-based series they supersede, slavishly adhering to these updated figures will be at the cost of economic growth. In reality the true level of housing need in Welsh local authorities will inevitably be higher than indicated by the 2014-based household projections. 5.8 This paragraph should be reworded as the reference to the past completions/ build rate is misleading as this is a method of calculation not allowed by the TAN1 - Joint Housing Land Availability Studies so why reference it? The HBF would contend that although there may be sufficient land allocated this does no guarantee development will take place. It could alternatively be concluded that the wrong land in the wrong places has been allocated by the previous plan. Denbighshire are not the only LPA in Wales to not be able to show a five year land supply, in fact only 6 of the 24 were able to in 2016 with only	Home Builders Federation	Past build rates are a valid source of evidence that will be used to inform the replacement LDP.	None required
The document lacks a section explaining how previous allocations which have not come forward to date will be reviewed before being 'rolled over' into the new plan.	Home Builders Federation	This will be addressed once work commences on the replacement LDP.	None required
Representation on the LDP Review Report (August 2017) made by Mineral Products Association Ltd	Mineral Product Association	Observation: It would appear from the MPA response that the purpose of the Review Report has been misunderstood: It is a first step in the	None required

Para 1.2 Reference is made to development needs. Whilst it is appreciated the list is not exclusive, it would be worth referencing minerals as they are critical to most if not all other forms of development	Minerals Product Association	review process and sets out what needs to be reviewed and why. The Information Papers, which were published alongside the LDP provided a link between the evidence base and the LDP main document and maps, explaining how the policies and allocations were arrived at. The papers have been updated to identify significant contextual change and highlight where policies have met relevant trigger points within the Monitoring Framework but do not detail how different policies will change as a result of these changes since this is not the role of the Review Report stage. Some of the comments made by the MPA relate to the way in which the LDP was developed and how the policies/mapping were arrived at. During the Review there will be opportunity for the MPA to fully engage with the Local Planning Authority which is welcomed by officers. This is not a conclusive list.	None required
Paragraphs 2.7 to 2.11: Whilst we support the Councils approach and aspirations, WG will be reviewing PPW in 2018 together with a NDF consultation in March 2018. In November 2017, there will be a planning consolidation Bill which will be followed by procedural guidance on Development Management and Policy. It is hoped a review of the RTS will also be forthcoming. Any review of the LDP must be flexible to incorporate reviewed policy, guidance and the consolidation of planning legislation.	Minerals Product Association	A principal reason for carrying out the LDP Review is to ensure that the adopted Plan remains compliant with legislative provisions that came into force after the adoption.	None required
Paragraph 2.10 Reference is made to larger scale issues such as housing numbers, strategic housing allocations, strategic	Minerals Product Association	Comment noted	None required

			T
employment sites and transport infrastructure.			
The raw materials and resources for such			
development must not be underestimated and			
the local plan review provides a good			
opportunity for this to be incorporated.			
Paragraph 4.10: This states "The	Minerals Product	The DCC LDP was adopted in June 2013 which	Paragraph reworded to read: The
Denbighshire LDP was adopted in 2013; six	Association	is less than 6 ½ years from the start of the Plan	Denbighshire LDP was adopted in
years have passed since the start of the plan		period; hence six years. Clarification to be	June 2013 which is less than seven
period." This is a little confusing. The plan		provided in amended paragraph.	years since the start of the Plan period
date runs from 2006 – 2021. If the plan was			in 2006. Figure X shows the annual
adopted in 2013, the period from 2006 to 2013			housing completions in comparison
is 7 years from commencement. However, we			with the growth levels set out in the
are now 11 years since the beginning of the			LDP.
plan period (2006 – 2017) or if we are looking			
at the period from when the plan was adopted			
(2013 – 2017) this period is 4 years.			
Paragraphs 4.21 to 4.23: This states that	Minerals Product	Table 4 sets out housing completions in line	None required
"Table 4 indicates that the delivery of houses	Association	with the LDP spatial strategy since 2006; i.e.	None required
has been very much in line with the settlement	Association	development proposals in the open countryside	
hierarchy identified in the adopted LDP" We		were approved under a different local policy	
don't believe Table 4 does show this. There		until June 2013. Bodelwyddan Key Strategic	
have been no houses delivered on the key		Site outline planning permission including	
strategic site, Bodelwyddan; and the		Section 106 Agreement were issued in March	
percentage of houses delivered in open		2016.	
		2016.	
countryside exceeds that for villages and			
hamlets, although there may be policy			
compliance considerations here.	N	T	N
Paragraph 5.8: This states "There is sufficient	Minerals Product	There will be a stronger focus on development	None required
land available for residential development but	Association	deliverability and viability in assessing future	
delivery is dependent on developers. The		candidate sites.	
industry has not taken up the land available to			
deliver as many houses per year as required			
by the Plan; partly due to viability concerns."			
The review should consider why delivery is not			
being achieved. Are the allocated sites in the			
right place; are there any constraints, planning			
or otherwise; are there infrastructure issues?			

Paragraphs 5.21 – 5.23: It is important that the review also considers non- aggregate production, such as, but not limited to, building stone for architectural purposes. Further the safeguarding of mineral resources and minerals infrastructure must be an integral part of the review. Most notable, is development on brownfield sites where proximity to existing infrastructure may impede lawful operations The introduction and overall structure of the report is a little confusing and unclear. It should be made clear in the introduction: (1) That the review has identified the need for a FULL REVISION of the LDP, which effectively, is a replacement of the adopted LDP. (2) That the report fully explains the reasons behind	Minerals Product Association Wrexham County Borough Council	Noted. The minerals to be safeguarded were developed as part of the LDP and selected on the basis of the evidence available at the time. Information regarding the location of building stone is limited: There is information regarding historic sites which have been worked for building stone but the underlying mineral varies across the County (limestone, sandstone and so on). The local authority welcomes the support of the MPA in reviewing the suitability of the safeguarding policy, however, it is essential that any changes are based upon robust evidence. As detailed within the Review Report, the review of national policy will have a potential influence on the safeguarding policy. Paragraph 5.23 confirms that the implications of additional allocations required as part of the LDP Review will need consideration against these (minerals) policies. This would include safeguarding. Comment noted	Introduction to be reworded to provide greater clarity on the purpose of the document, and restructure of individual paragraphs.
this requirement/decision. Paragraph 5.12 seems to refer to the needs of the Gypsy and Traveller community, both for a residential site and transit/stopping site as a regional issue. The transit/stopping site may be a regional issue, but the need for a residential site is unlikely to be. Should be some reference of any intentions to work collectively with other North Wales authorities in relation to providing a transit/stopping site.	Wrexham County Borough Council	This reference has been removed from the Review Report.	This reference has been removed from the Review Report.

Appendices but should be referenced in the report somewhere, particularly if they are serving to provide further evidence for a FULL REVISION of the LDP	Wrexham County Borough Council	Comment noted.	References to appendices I and II to be inserted in the main text body.
LATE REPRESENTATION Concerned that LDP boundaries do not match parish boundaries, especially Cwm Road Dyserth.	C Scholl & S Edwards	LDP development boundaries do not aim to follow town & community council boundaries.	None required.
LATE REPRESENTATION Hamlets policy not sensible or achievable. Brownfield sites should be used for development rather than rural green field ones.	C Scholl & S Edwards	The effectiveness of the hamlets policy in delivering homes in rural areas will be reviewed as part of the process of preparing the replacement LDP.	None required.
Information papers			
Promoting a Sustainable Econo	my		
Table 7 identifies that 26ha of the 50 ha in the LDP was allocated at Bodelwyddan and that none has come forward on this site. The Council did not allocate any further employment land in Rhyl and Prestatyn as it was identified that demand could be satisfied through the use of existing sites. The reason why the sites are vacant may be that they are unattractive to modern business requirements, the release of these unwanted employment sites for higher value uses should be considered and encourage in accordance with Paragraph 7.5.1 of PPW.	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	Existing employment sites and respective land allocations to be reappraised as part of the forthcoming LDP employment land review.	None required
The assumption that employment need can be met within existing stock cannot be claimed without an employment land review being undertaken which assesses the quality and quantity of the existing employment stock. Without this information, it is not	Hourigan Connolly for Coach Road Developments Ltd	Existing employment sites and respective land allocations to be reappraised as part of the forthcoming LDP employment land review. Local planning authority may reconsider its position on the basis of newly emerging evidence.	None required

possible to know if there is a requirement for			
existing offices/ employment areas to be			
upgraded or whether the stock might not be			
suitable to meet changing market needs.			
The Council are yet to undertake their	Hourigan	Comment noted	None required
updated Employment Land review as is	Connolly for		
highlighted within paragraph 3.3.24 of the	Coach Road		
Information Paper. In order to ensure that	Developments		
economic growth does occur within this plan	Ltd		
period our client supports the Council in			
undertaking a review and reserves the right to			
comment on this document.			
Welcome Retail Study and reserve right to	Hourigan	Comment noted	None required
comment on the study.	Connolly for		
	Coach Road		
	Developments		
	Ltd		
Paragraph 3.6.1: The statement does not	Minerals	Seeking to ensure development is sustainable,	None required
reflect PPW Chapter 14: Minerals. PPW	Products	minimising the consumption of resources is a	
requires that LPAs provide positively for the	Association	fundamental aim of national policy. Paragraph	
safeguarding and working of mineral		12.6.3 of PPW sets out that development plans	
resources to meet society's needs. There is		should seek to secure opportunities to reduce	
however a requirement for LPAs:		or recycle waste as part of the design,	
 to provide for an adequate supply of 		construction and operation of new buildings.	
minerals that society needs now and in the		Paragraph 14.7.2 of PPW deals with the	
future, together with protecting and		recycling of construction and demolition wastes	
improving amenity;		as well as mineral and industrial wastes.	
 to protect things that are highly cherished 		Minerals Technical Advice Note 1 also	
for their intrinsic qualities, such as wildlife,		recognises the importance of encouraging the	
landscapes and historic features; and to		efficient use of minerals and maximisation of	
protect human health and safety by ensuring		alternative materials as aggregates. Section E of	
that environmental impacts caused by		MTAN 1 expands upon how this may be	
mineral extraction and transportation are		achieved. It is proposed as part of the Review	

within acceptable limits; and to secure,		of the LDP that Policy RD1 is reviewed to	
without compromise, restoration and		address this requirement.	
aftercare to provide for appropriate and		'	
beneficial after-use;			
• to help conserve non-renewable resources			
for future generations through efficient use,			
recycling and waste prevention; to protect			
renewable resources from serious harm or			
pollution; and to promote the use of			
appropriate alternative materials;			
• to ensure an adequate supply of minerals			
that are needed at prices that are reasonable;			
and to safeguard mineral resources for future			
generations.			
We would seek clarification of the LPA's			
proposed measures to reduce the need for			
minerals and the precise details of the			
sustainable construction practices referred			
too.			
Paragraph 3.6.6: This paragraph proposes a	Minerals	Support welcomed	None required
review of local plan policy for the delivery of	Products		
sand and gravel reserves, as no sites have	Association		
been brought forward. We would support			
this approach and would be happy to discuss			
this with the LPA.			
Paragraph 3.6.9: This paragraph identifies a	Minerals	Paragraph 3.6.9 identifies the approach that	None required
sieve approach which indicate where	Products	was taken during the development of the LDP.	
development will be excluded. In view of the	Association	Paragraph 3.6.6 of the document recognises	
lack policy failure to deliver sites, these		that this policy has not delivered and needs to	
criteria may need to be reviewed.		be reviewed.	

Paragraph 3.6.11: This paragraph states that visual impact is a constraint. We do not believe visual impact is a constraint per se, but is a matter which needs to be considered during the application process. It is evident that the visual effects of mineral working can be mitigated through appropriate measures and is part of the overall planning balance as to whether or not proposals are acceptable.	Minerals Products Association	Large areas of the County are designated for their landscape quality. These areas coincide with the distribution of mineral of economic importance, including sand and gravel and limestone. It is therefore considered appropriate to highlight the constraint/s as relevant to mineral extraction.	None required
Paragraphs 3.6.19 -3.6.22: Table of Safeguarded Minerals and subsequent text. We question the selection process to determine which minerals are excluded from this table. Of particular note is the failure to safeguard building stone, coal, metalliferous minerals and silica rock. The LPA must provide a clear and detailed consideration to justify this table. Sterilising mineral resources is not sustainable.	Minerals Products Association	This section details the approach to selecting areas for safeguarding during development of the LDP. As detailed above the approach taken was based upon information available at the time. Coal: A deposit of tertiary coal runs from Prestatyn in the north to Dyserth. The majority of the deposit is already sterilised by the settlements of Prestatyn, Meliden and Dyserth. There is a small, isolated deposit of tertiary coal to the west of Trefnant, close to the Elwy Woods SSSI. MTAN 2 requires primary and secondary resources to be safeguarded and allows for the exclusion of designated sites and settlements. The conclusions made with respect to the other mineral types are considered to remain of relevance. Nevertheless, if the MPA has further evidence the LPA would welcome its submission and consider it as part of the review.	None required
Paragraph 3.6.23: Safeguarding - We support	Minerals	There are no ports and no freight terminals	None required
the LPA's statement that a review of the	Products	within Denbighshire. Quarries within	
safeguarding approach will be undertaken as	Association	Denbighshire are reliant on road.	

		T	T
part of the LDP review and would suggest that			
the review includes minerals infrastructure.			
Paragraph 3.6.24: Buffer zones - This section	Minerals	This paragraph seeks to explain the rationale	None required
is a little confusing and we would seek the	Products	behind not safeguarding urban areas, taking	
LPA's clarification on what it is seeking to	Association	into account the fact that redevelopment of	
achieve.		large sites can offer potential opportunities for	
		prior extraction.	
Building Sustainable Communit	ies		
Although our client supports the broad	Hourigan	The independent Inspector appointed to	None required
approach taken by the Council towards	Connolly for	Examine the soundness of the current LDP	·
brownfield sites, this may be a contributing	Coach Road	considered that sufficient land was allocated to	
factor to why delivery levels are not as high as	Developments Ltd	meet housing need. The lower levels of housing	
required. The Council clarify, within Paragraph	Liu	delivered are as a result of factors such as the	
3.9.1 of the Appendix 1: Issue Paper: Building		depressed housing market and unrealistic	
Sustainable Communities, the position of the		expectations of land values from land owners.	
brownfield sites named above and allocated		·	
within BC 1:			
"Rhuddlan Triangle: A site development brief			
was prepared and adopted for this site and a			
new supermarket is currently under			
construction on part of the site.			
Former Ocean Plaza, Rhyl: site currently under construction for a major new retail			
development for Rhyl.			
acverepinient for ranyi.			
Prestatyn Former Gas Works: part of the site			
is currently being redeveloped for a			
supermarket."			
apparent that the Council failed to identify a			
sufficient number of deliverable sites for new			
housing, to allow for 'slippage' on sites; or for			
some of the less viable sites to stall or switch			
to alternative higher value uses, such as those			

highlighted above. For example, the Former Ocean Plaza site was allocated for 230 dwellings, which are no-longer included within the supply. This failure to plan for	
dwellings, which are no-longer included	
within the supply. This failure to plan for	
flexibility and changing circumstances has	
contributed to reduced housing delivery	
within the County.	
Valuing Our Environment	
AONB Policy VOE2 is not mentioned in Table 4 AONB JAC As the policy VOE2 is performing as expected, it None required	
of the information paper relating to 'Valuing is deliberately not included in Table 4 relating	
our Environment' which highlights areas for to the LDP Annual Monitoring Report. Appendix	
review. 2 of the main Review Report highlights	
contextual changes that may require policy	
changes.	
Sustainability Appraisal	
We note the confirmation that the Natural Comments noted. Consideration will be given None required currently.	
replacement Plan will need to comply with Resources Wales to amending the structure of the Sustainability	
the requirements of the Well-being of Future Appraisal report to correspond with the Well-	
Generations (Wales) Act and that the updated Being of future Generations (Wales) goals. This	
SA/SEA Assessments will also need to be will be subject to a separate consultation.	
revised accordingly.	
We note that the Annual Monitoring of the SA Natural Comments noted. NRW do not consider it None required	
Framework concludes that the LDP is Resources Wales necessary to amend SA objectives.	
delivering in terms of sustainable	
development in line with SA objectives and	
that therefore it is considered that the SA	
objectives all remain relevant to the current	
adopted LDP. No developments have been	
granted which have undermined the	
objectives set out as part of the LDP process.	
We welcome that the baseline information Natural Support welcomed. Sources of baseline None required	
has been updated where available and new Resources Wales information will continue to be researched and	

and additional data included that provides uptodate baseline data to assist in monitoring and reconsidering the SA as part of the replacement LDP		evaluated throughout the process of Plan writing.	
We are satisfied with the review of relevant plans, programmes and policies that has taken place and note that the list may evolve as the plan develops.	Natural Resources Wales	Support welcomed. Agree to evolve the list as plans develop. Adoption of Denbighshire Corporate Plan (2017 – 2022) an example to be incorporated.	None required
We note the resources that have been used to identify sustainability issues.	Natural Resources Wales	Support welcomed. Resource colelction will be continued throughout the process of Plan writing.	None required
NRW is satisfied with the SA Scoping Report and consider that it can be used to inform the SA Report as part of the replacement LDP.	Natural Resources Wales	Support welcomed.	None required
Habitats Regulations Appraisal			
We note that the purpose of the HRA for the replacement plan is to re-visit previous HRA' prepared in relation to the adopted plan with the aim of identifying potential changes to the relevant European sites and look at the effectiveness of previously suggested avoidance and mitigation measures.	Natural Resources Wales	Comment noted	None required
We note the confirmation that none of the local policies and planning proposals contained in the LDP have caused significant effects on any European site since plan adoption, although further investigations will be carried out to explore opportunities to improve air quality in the South of the County, especially reduction of nitrogen deposits.	Natural Resources Wales	Comment noted	None required
We welcome that fact that the HRA of the replacement Plan will have regard to the	Natural Resources Wales	Comment noted	None required

proposed extension of the 'Bae Lerpwl /			
Liverpool Bay' SPA as well as consider the new			
information on 'Coedwigoedd Dyffryn Alun /			
Alyn Valley Woods' SAC. It is also noted that			
these changes may have an effect on local			
policy and the potential forms of land use			
NRW is satisfied that the HRA exercise has set the	Natural	Comment noted	None required
framework for future HRA work with regard to the	Resources		·
replacement plan.	Wales		
Delivery Agreement			
Welcome consultation and happy to be	Denbigh Civic	Support Welcomed	None required
involved in future stages	Society		
-			
Consultees – Appendix 3 lists DECC which was	Ian Gardner	Reference is from the LDP Manual (2015). Reference	Delivery Agreement amended.
dissolved in July 2016 so should be		updated to Department for Business, Energy and	
removed		Industrial Strategy	
1	1	1	

Consultees – I think it is appropriate to include electricity and gas network operators but not those (such as Ecotricity and EON) that are just suppliers. Alternatively you should include all utility suppliers and intermediaries.	lan Gardner	This entry will be simplified to those" to whom a licence has been granted under section 6(1)(b) or (c) of the Electricity Act 1989" and those " to whom a licence has been granted under section 7(2) of the Gas Act 1986"	Delivery Agreement list of specific consultees amended.
Consultees – You may wish to include Community Housing Cymru as they represent Housing Associations in Denbighshire and given the social housing component of the LDP Review, perhaps they should be specifically included in the list of consultees.	Ian Gardner	Agree	Community Housing Cymru added to general consultee list at Appendix 3 to the Delivery Agreement and the LDP database.

Consultees – Dwr Cymru are included twice in the table in Appendix 3 as is the Environmental Services Association (3x), Gypsy Council etc. Appendix 3 could do with some checking for duplicates and a tidy up generally	lan Gardner	Some organisations represent a range of sectors which is why they appear more than once.	None required.
Consultees – Organisations that represent specific businesses should be matched by any opposition groups and competitors. The reference to British Wind Energy (their old name) is a good example and views should be sought from organisations that oppose wind energy as well. Given the significance of Wylva, there should also be an opportunity for the Nuclear industry to comment also.	Ian Gardner	Reference is from the LDP Manual (2015). The Delivery Agreement will be updated to reflect new name of RenewableUK. Nuclear Industry Association and Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament added to LDP Database	Delivery Agreement amended

Consultees – It is not clear why the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology merits inclusion as a specific consultee as it is a commercial organisation based in England	lan Gardner	Reference is from the LDP Manual (2015).	None required
Consultees - Environmental groups at national and regional level again should be carefully chosen as you risk consulting with protest organisations and taking politically determined views into account in an unbalanced way.	lan Gardner	The consultee lists are not exhaustive and the LDP database contains many more entries than are listed in the Delivery Agreement. Any person or organisation not currently included on the database can request inclusion at any time in the process.	None required

Group are happy with the documents.	Glyn Avenue Residents Group	Support welcomed	None required
The Delivery Agreement timetable for the replacement LDP must ensure that adoption is Spring 2021, otherwise the Council will be further compromised by having to adhere to an outdated and failed Local Development Plan.	Russell Reeve	The Delivery Agreement timetable sets out that the replacement LDP should be adopted before the current LDP Plan period expires.	None required.

I note that Candidate Site submissions are to be invited in December 2017, please provide the appropriate criteria.	Russell Reeve	A call for candidate sites will take place following approval of the Delivery Agreement by Welsh Government. The call for sites and assessment criteria will be well publicised and everyone on the LDP database will be notified.	None Required
The Draft Delivery Agreement for the replacement LDP is also supported, but the committee is disappointed that the Clwydian Range and Dee Valley AONB Joint Committee and Partnership are not specifically listed as a future consultee on the replacement plan. It is noted that the lists are not exhaustive, but given that the AONB is an active participant in planning matters, the committee is of the view that it should be explicitly recognised as an organisation to be consulted.	AONB JAC	Agree.	Clwydian Range and Dee Valley AONB Joint Committee and Partnership added to general consultation list in Appendix 3 of Delivery Agreement

Lack of consensus or agreement on key issues is	Anwyl	Agree.	Change to 'Medium Risk' in Appendix 2.
stated as being a Low risk in Appendix 2.	Construction Co		
Experience of the current LDP indicates this should	Ltd		
be afforded a higher level of risk.			